1	LAW OFFICES OF RONALD A. MARRON							
2	RONALD A. MARRON (SBN 175650) ron@consumersadvocates.com	FILED Superior Court of California						
2	LILACH HALPERIN (SBN 323202)	Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles						
	<i>lilach@consumersadvocates.com</i> 651 Arroyo Drive	06/11/2024 David W. Slayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court						
4	San Diego, California 92103	By: I. Arellanes Deputy						
5	Telephone: (619) 696-9006 Facsimile: (619) 564-6665							
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class							
7								
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	IE STATE OF CALIFORNIA						
9	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES							
10								
11	RONA KOMINS, on behalf of herself, her	Case No. 19STCV24865						
12	children, B.K. and M.K, and all others similarly situated,	CLASS ACTION						
13	Similarly Situated,							
14	Plaintiff,	PLAINTIFF'S UNOPPOSED MOTION						
15	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT						
16	V.							
17	DAVE YONAMINE, JOHN LIBBY, MOBILITYWARE, LLC; DOES 1-100,							
18	inclusive, and ROES Software Development							
19	Kit Business Entities 1-100, inclusive,							
20								
21	Defendants.							
21								
22								
23 24								
24 25								
25 26								
20 27								
27								
20								
	<i>Komins v. Yonamine, et al.</i> , Case No. 19STCV24865 [Proposed] Order Granting Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Cla Action Settlement							

Plaintiff Rona Komins ("Plaintiff"), on behalf of herself, her children, B.K. and M.K, and the settlement class that she purports to represent, moves this Court for preliminary approval of the proposed settlement in the above-captioned action. This Court has reviewed and considered Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval and supporting materials. Now, having fully considered the record and the requirements of law, this Court orders that the Motion for Preliminary Approval is **GRANTED** as set forth below.

IT IS THIS _____DAY OF ______, 2024 ORDERED that the settlement (including all terms of the Settlement Agreement and exhibits thereto) is hereby **PRELIMINARILY APPROVED**. The Court further preliminarily finds and orders as follows:

1. The Court finds that the Settlement Agreement is the product of arms-length negotiation conducted by experienced legal counsel after extensive discovery and settlement negotiations, including a full-day mediation conducted by the Honorable Jay C. Gandhi (Ret.) of JAMS. The Settlement Agreement is not the result of collusion.

2. The Court finds that the proceedings that occurred before the Parties reached the Settlement Agreement gave counsel and the Parties an opportunity to adequately assess the strengths and weaknesses of their respective positions in this case, and, therefore, to structure the settlement in a way that adequately accounts for those strengths and weaknesses.

3. The Court finds that, subject to the Final Approval hearing, the Settlement Agreement is fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interests of the Settlement Class. The Court further finds that the settlement falls well within the range of reasonableness and has no obvious deficiencies.

4. Because the settlement meets the standards for preliminary approval, the Court preliminarily approves all terms of the settlement, including the Settlement Agreement and all of its exhibits.

5. The Court finds that the requirements of Cal. Code Civ. P. § 382 have been satisfied. The Court hereby certifies, for settlement purposes only, a Settlement Class of all United States citizens who played one or more of the following MobilityWare Gaming Apps on a mobile device at any time between July 17, 2015 and [the date preliminary approval is

Komins v. Yonamine, et al., Case No. 19STCV24865 [Proposed] Order Granting Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement

1

1	granted], excluding Defendants and Defendants' officers, directors, employees, agents and										
2	affiliates, and the Court and its staff:										
3	SolitaireTripeaks Solitaire										
4	Pyramid Solitaire										
5	 FreeCell Solitaire Crown Solitaire 										
6	 Spider Solitaire 										
7	Spider Go Solitaire										
8	Castle SolitaireAddiction Solitaire										
	 Mahjong Solitaire 										
9	Yukon Russian Solitaire Game										
10	Aces Up SolitaireDestination Solitaire										
11	 Destination Solitaire Hearts Card Game 										
12	Puzzle Cats										
13	Sudoku SimpleSpades Card Game										
	Tropical Treats										
14	Word Wiz										
15	 Word Warp Sunny Shapes 										
16	 Sunny Snapes Word Search 										
17	Tetra Block – Puzzle Game										
18	 Dice Merge Puzzle Master Blackjack 										
19	Match & Darma Match 2 Came										
20	Vegas Blvd Slots Plack Party Pingo										
	 Block Party Bingo 52 Card Pick-up 										
21	• Excite Bear – Animal Bikers										
22	Monopoly Solitaire.										
23	6. The Court appoints Plaintiff Rona Komins as Class Representative and appoints										
24	the Law Offices of Ronald A. Marron as Class Counsel.										
25	7. The Court preliminarily approves the <i>cy pres</i> award of \$100,000.00, split equally										
26	between the Electronic Frontier Foundation and the Electronic Privacy Information Center. The										
27	Court finds that the cy pres remedy accounts for the nature of Plaintiff's lawsuit, the objectives										
28	of the underlying statutes, and the interests of the Settlement Class members.										
	2										
	<i>Komins v. Yonamine, et al.</i> , Case No. 19STCV24865 [Proposed] Order Granting Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class										

[Proposed] Order Granting Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement

L

8. The Court has reviewed the content of the Parties' proposed Notice Plan and the long-form and summary notices and finds that they satisfy the requirements of California law and the Due Process Clause of the United States Constitution. Accordingly, the Court approves the notices.

9. The Court further approves the methods for giving notice of the settlement to the members of the Class, as reflected in the Settlement Agreement and proposed in Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval. The Court also approves payment of the costs of notice as provided for in the Settlement Agreement. The Court finds that the notice procedures, carried out with reasonable diligence, will satisfy the requirements of the California Code of Civil Procedure and due process of law, are the best practicable notice under the circumstances, and are reasonably calculated to apprise Settlement Class members of the pendency of the Litigation and their right to object to the Settlement.

10. The Court further approves the appointment of RG/2 Claims Administration or an equivalent notice administrator identified by the Parties to administer and oversee the Notice Plan.

11. The Court directs that a hearing will be held on September 18, 2024, to consider final approval of the settlement (the "Final Approval Hearing"), including, but not limited to, the following issues: (a) the fairness, reasonableness, and adequacy of the settlement; (b) Class Counsel's application for an award of attorneys' fees and costs; and (c) approval of incentive awards to the Class Representative.

12. Class Members who wish to exclude themselves (opt-out of) the Class Settlement must send the Notice Administrator a signed written Request for Exclusion that reasonably communicates the Class Member's election to be excluded from the Settlement in *Komins v*. *Yonamine, et al.*, Case No. 19STCV24865 and includes the Class Member's name, address, and telephone number. To be valid, a Request for Exclusion must be timely postmarked no later than thirty (30) calendar days prior to the date of the Final Approval Hearing.

13. Class Members may object to the Settlement in writing. Written objections must be sent to the Notice Administrator and must be postmarked no later than 30 calendar days prior

Komins v. Yonamine, et al., Case No. 19STCV24865 [Proposed] Order Granting Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement

to the Final Approval Hearing. All written objections and supporting papers should contain information sufficient to identify and contact the Settlement Class Member (or his or her attorney, if any), and should reasonably communicate the Settlement Class Member's desire to object to the Settlement in *Komins v. Yonamine, et al.*, Case No. 19STCV24865.

14. In the alternative, Settlement Class Members may appear in Court (or hire an attorney to appear in Court) to present verbal objections at the Final Approval Hearing. In general, the Court will hear from any Settlement Class Member who attends the final approval hearing in Department 14 of the Spring Street Courthouse before Judge Kenneth R. Freeman and asks to speak regarding his or her objection.

a. If an objecting party intends to appear at the Final Approval Hearing, the objector may file with the Court, at least thirty (30) days before the Final Approval Hearing (or such other deadline as may be set by the Court), a notice of intent to appear. The notice of intent to appear should list the name, address, and telephone number of the attorney, if any, who will appear on behalf of that party.

b. Class Counsel and Defendants shall have the right, but not the obligation,
to respond to any written Objection, by filing opposition papers no later than seven (7) calendar
days prior to the Final Approval Hearing.

EVENT	[Proposed] Deadlines	DATES
Class Action Website Activated	30 days after Preliminary	June 5, 2024
	Approval Order	
Social Media Notice	30 days after Preliminary	June 5, 2024
Disseminated	Approval Order	
Filing of Motion for Attorneys'	45 days before Final Approval	August 5, 2024
Fees and Costs and Incentive	Hearing	
Awards		
Submitting Written Objections	30 days before Final Approval	August 19, 2024
or Requests for Exclusion with	Hearing	
he Notice Administrator		
Filing Notice of Intent to Appear	30 days before Final Approval	August 19, 2024
at Final Approval Hearing	Hearing	

15. The deadlines for key events are as follows:

Komins v. Yonamine, et al., Case No. 19STCV24865 <u>[Proposed]</u> Order Granting Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement

Filing	of	Motion	for	Final	21 days before Final Approval	August 28, 2024
Approval					Hearing	
Filing	of	Respo	onse(s)	to	7 days before Final Approval	September 11, 2024
Objecti	ons				Hearing	
Final Approval Hearing			September 18, 2024 at			
						11:00 a.m.

16. To the extent not otherwise defined herein, all defined terms in this Order shall have the meaning assigned to them in the Settlement Agreement.

17. In the event the settlement does not become effective for any reason, the Parties shall be restored to their respective positions in the Litigation as of the date the Motion for Preliminary Approval was filed.

18. Neither this Settlement, nor any act performed or document executed pursuant to or in furtherance of this Settlement is or may be deemed to be or may be used as an admission of, or evidence of, the validity of any released claim, or of any wrongdoing or liability of Defendants; or is or may be deemed to be or may be used as an admission of, or evidence of, any fault or omission of Defendants in any civil, criminal, or administrative proceeding in any court, administrative agency or other tribunal. Any party to this Litigation may file the Settlement Agreement and/or the Judgment in any action that may be brought against it in order to support any defense or counterclaim, including without limitation those based on principles of res judicata, collateral estoppel, release, good faith settlement, judgment bar or reduction, or any other theory of claim preclusion or issue preclusion or similar defense or counterclaim.

19. All activity in the action with respect to Defendants shall be stayed unless and until the Settlement Agreement is terminated pursuant to its terms and conditions or the Settlement Agreement is finally approved and the case is dismissed.

20. Upon final approval of the Settlement, the Class Representative will release and discharge the Released Persons from any and all injunctive or equitable relief claims that are asserted in the operative complaint. Plaintiff will also release and discharge the Released Persons from all claims for damages or other monetary relief (whether actual, nominal, punitive, exemplary, statutory, or otherwise) that are asserted in the operative complaint.

21. Upon final approval of the Settlement, Settlement Class Members will release and discharge the Released Persons from any and all injunctive or equitable relief claims for, by, or on behalf of, Settlement Class Members, that are asserted in the operative complaint. Released injunctive relief claims do not include any claims from minors who are under the age of 18 as of the Effective Date. The Class Members' released claims expressly do not include any claims for damages or other monetary relief. The statutes of limitations for individual claims for monetary relief by members of the putative class were tolled as of the date of filing of the Complaint, and the statute of limitations for minors are tolled until the minor turns 18.

9 22. The Court shall retain continuing jurisdiction over the Parties and the implementation and enforcement of the terms of the Settlement Agreement, and to assure that all payments and other actions required of any of the Parties by the Settlement Agreement are 12 properly made or taken.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

06/11/2024 DATED:



KENNETH R. FREEMAN lge of the Superior Court enneth R. Freeman/Judge

Komins v. Yonamine, et al., Case No. 19STCV24865 [Proposed] Order Granting Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class **Action Settlement**